| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | BRYAN KING SHELDON (SB# 116219) bry NICOLAS ORIHUELA (SB# 221898) nicolar LIM, RUGER & KIM, LLP 1055 West Seventh Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, Ca 90017 Telephone: (213) 955-9500 Facsimile: (213) 955-9511 JOSEPH H. MELTZER jmeltzer@sbtklaw.com GERALD D. WELLS, III gwells@sbtklaw.com SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESS 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087 | s.orihuela@lrklawyers.com om |
|--|--|--|
| 8910 | Telephone: (610) 667-7706 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056 Attorneys for Plaintiff JONATHAN CHAET | |
| 11 12 13 14 | M. KIRBY C. WILCOX (SB# 78576) kirbyw MOLLY A. HARCOS (SB# 233556) mollyha PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKE 55 Second Street, Twenty-Fourth Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-3441 Telephone: (415) 856-7000 Facsimile: (415) 856-7100 | arcos@paulhastings.com |
| 15 16 | Attorneys for Defendants PIPER JAFFRAY COMPANIES and PIPER JAFFRAY & CO. | |
| 17 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 18 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | JONATHAN CHAET, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, | CASE NO. C-06-7075 PJH |
| 21 | , | Related to <i>McNerney v. Piper Jaffray & Co.</i> , Case No. C-06-5155 PJH |
| 22 | Plaintiffs, | |
| 23 | vs. PIPER JAFFRAY COMPANIES; PIPER | JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| 24 | JAFFRAY & CO. and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, | Date: March 1, 2007 |
| 25 | Defendants. | Time: 2:30 p.m. Courtroom: 3 |
| 26 | Detendants. | Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton |
| 27 | | |

28

STIPULATION

The parties in *Chaet v. Piper Jaffray & Co.*, Case No. C-06-7075 PJH, ("*Chaet*"), by and through their counsel of record, stipulate as follows:

Pursuant to the Civil Local Rules for the U.S. District Court of the Northern District of California ("Local Rule"), Rules 6-1 and 6-2, the parties in the above-captioned matter (the "Parties") stipulate and request a court order to stay the scheduled Case Management Conference ("CMC"), from March 1, 2007 to the next available date ordered by the Court after the Parties participate in a scheduled mediation, if the mediation does not result in a final resolution of the matter. At present the Parties have scheduled a mediation proceeding before David Rotman on May 8, 2007.

The Parties filed a Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related ("Relatedness Motion") on February 2, 2007. On February 12, 2007, the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton ("Judge Hamilton") ruled that this matter was related to a previously filed case assigned to her, *McNerney v. Piper Jaffray & Co.*, Case No. C-06-5155 PJH ("*McNerney*"). Pursuant to that order this matter was reassigned to Judge Hamilton.

The Parties filed their Joint Case Management Statement ("CMS") on February 22, 2007. In their CMS, the Parties indicated to the Court that they have scheduled a mediation proceeding before David Rotman on May 8, 2007. This mediation will address the claims raised in both the *McNerney* and *Chaet* matters. In the interest of maximizing the likelihood of a meaningful mediation process, the Parties are conferring as to how to best prepare for mediation through the exchange of relevant information and documents. The Parties believe their efforts would be best served by devoting all of their time and resources to fully preparing and pursuing the mediation, including negotiation, review and analysis of produced information and documentation.

Case 4:06-cv-07075-PJH Document 26 Filed 02/27/07 Page 3 of 6

| 1 | The Parties believe the requested stay for the CMC will have a minimal effect on the |
|----|---|
| 2 | ultimate schedule for the case (the proposed stay is little more than 60 days) and note that the tria |
| 3 | date has not been set. The Parties do not want to waste the Court's time and resources, nor incur |
| 4 | unnecessary costs for the Parties, by vigorously litigating/prosecuting these actions when there |
| 5 | exists a potential for fruitful mediation. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Further, in their efforts to minimize the Court's time and resources, the Parties request the |
| 8 | Court rule on this Stipulation and Proposed Order on these papers and do not request a hearing. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Accordingly, the Parties, by and through their counsel, seek a court order and stipulate, as |
| 11 | follows: |
| 12 | The Case Management Conference currently scheduled for March 1, 2007, will be |
| 13 | stayed until after the Parties have participated in their scheduled mediation on May 8, 2007. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | STIPLIL ATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR |

Case 4:06-cv-07075-PJH Document 26 Filed 02/27/07 Page 4 of 6 1 DATED: February 27, 2007 M. KIRBY C. WILCOX MOLLY A. HARCOS 2 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 3 By: /s/ MOLLY A. HARCOS 4 5 6 Attorneys for Defendants PIPER JAFFRAY COMPANIES and 7 PIPER JAFFRAY & CO. 8 DATED: February 27, 2007 BRYAN KING SHELDON NICOLAS ORIHUELA 9 LIM, RUGER & KIM, LLP 10 11 12 13 JOSEPH H. MELTZER GERALD D. WELLS, III 14 ROBERT J. GRAY SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff 16 JONATHAN CHAET 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR -3-

[PROPOSED] ORDER

ATTESTATION (GENERAL ORDER 45.X.B.) I, Nicolas Orihuela, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this stipulation. I hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence for this filing from Molly Harcos, the other signatory to this stipulation. STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR

[PROPOSED] ORDER This matter came before the Court for hearing on the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton. The Court, having considered all papers filed and otherwise being fully informed, and good cause show: IT IS ORDERED THAT the CMC, currently scheduled for March 1, 2007, will be stayed until after the Parties have participated in their scheduled mediation on May 8, 2007. A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE WILL BE HELD ON MAY 10, 2007 AT 2:30 P.M. DATED: February 27 ____, 2007 SO ORDERED UDGE Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR